SWANLEY MARKET TENDER EVALUATION SUMMARY

WEDNESDAY MARKET Combined Value for Money and Quality Scores

				Suitability				
Tende	r	Value for money	Technical Merit	as a Business	Assessed Capability	Complete Response	TOTAL	
No.	Tenderer	(max. 60)	(max. 15)	(max. 4)	(max. 17)	(max. 4)	(max. 100)	
	1 Hughmark Ltd.	47	10	3	13	2	75	Non-compliant tender
	2 Bray Associates	49	10	4	15	3	81	

Award Recommendation to Portfolio-holder:

Although the tender submitted by Hughmark Ltd has been evaluated and scored under the notified evaluation headings of Value for Money and Quality, it has been established through the tender enquiry process that this tender is non-compliant as the annual licence fees quoted are a projection of potential income rather than the firm annual fee payable under the contract. Hughmark Limited have declined to carry the risk of under-recovery in pitch fees but say the risk of under-recovery for the Council is low and that the potential income to the Council could be substantially more. Sevenoaks District Council is unable to accept this alternative tender without retendering and as such it must be disregarded.

Therefore the most economically advantageous compliant tender in accordance with the evaluation criteria is that submitted by Bray Associates. It is recommended that tenderers be advised of the tender outcome and that, subject to there being no challenge during the ten-day standstill period required under EU tender rules, the contract for the Swanley market operation, commencing 1st April 2019 until 31st March 2023, be awarded to Ritagate Limited trading as Bray Associates.

Swanley Market Tender - Evaluation Criteria - '	Value fo	or Money S	Scores			
Wednesday Market		Hughmark	Limited	Bray As	sociates	
•		Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 1	Lot 2	
Tender price for first year (initial fee)				£320,000	£245,000	
Whole contract period including any possible extension				£1,665,293	£1,274,990	
Assumes 2% annual CPI increase as calculated below						
Apply Annual CPI increases	Y1			£320,000	£245,000	
To calculate the total value of the market fees paid, at	Y2			£326,400	£249,900	
the same rate of annual CPI inflation: $= P^*(1+r)$ where,	Y3			£332,928	£254,898	
P is the initial amount;	Y4			£339,587	£259,996	
r is the annual interest rate as a percentage;	ExY5			£346,378	£265,196	
Possible variations due to loss of Square market area						
Overall income - Lot 1 for 1 year and Lot 2 for 4 years	Var. 1			£1,34	9,990	-£4,425
Overall income - Lot 1 for 2 years and Lot 2 for 3 years	Var. 2			£1,42		£3,164
Overall income - Lot 1 for 3 years and Lot 2 for 2 years	Var. 3			£1,50		£10,904
Overall income - Lot 1 for 4 years and Lot 2 for 1 year	Var. 4			£1,58	•	£18,800
Overall income - Lot 1 for 5 years	Var. 5			£1,66	5,293	£26,853
Scores - Maximum 60						
First year income to the Council combined Lots - Max. score 10 Variations in overall income due to loss of market area for the whole		9		7	7	
contract period incl. extension - Total Max. score 40		6		8		
	Var. 2	8		(5	
	Var. 3	8		(5	
	Var. 4	8		(5	
	Var. 5	8		(5	
Guarantee of regular monthly income - risk of fluctuation in income due to stall-holder non-attendance carried by Contractor or SDC? -						
Max. score 10		0		1	0	

Total Value for Money Score =

Swanley Market Tender - Evaluation Criteria - Quality Scores	Maximum Score	Hughmark Limited	Comments	Bray Associates	Comments	
Technical merit.						
Compliance with the Specification and Tender. Together with an acceptable integration process	3	2	Insurances will need to be increased. Says previous market operator so should allow successful integration.	3	Current market operator knows requirements allowing successful integration.	
Method statements.	5	4	Broad method statements	2	Short method statements	
Demonstrated Health and Safety Compliance	5	2	Limited demonstration	3	First hand demonstration	
Technical back up and support	2	2	Directors attend market days	2	Current market Operator	
Sub-Total	15	10		10		
Suitability as a business						
Flexibility on contract conditions.	2	1	Untested so unknown	2	Current market Operator	
Ability to work with the Council	2	2	Previous Swanley Operator?	2	Current market Operator	
Sub-Total	4	3		4		
Assessed capability						
Assessed capability of tenderer to support all elements						
of the contract	3	2	SME Market Operator	3	Multiple Market Operator	
Monitoring and dealing with complaints.	3	2	Untested so unknown	2	Response to 'valid' complaints	
Evaluation of reference sites	3	2	Works with Thanet DC	3	Current market Operator	
Assessed ability to comply with Heads of Terms	3	3	Previous Swanley Operator	3	Current market Operator	
Level of experience both directly and indirectly of tenderers staff who will oversee and implement the contract	3	3	Directors will attend and have multiple markets experience	3	Director and attending manager have multiple markets experience	
Training and development of staff.	2	1	In-house - Directors train	1	In-house	
Sub-Total	17	13		15		
Completeness of Response						
Assessed completeness of response.	2	1	No H&S policy or other docs.	1	No H&S policy or other docs.	
Any social value added	2	1	Untested so unknown	2	Supports charity & SDC stalls	
Sub-Total	4	2		3		
Total	40	28		32		